

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT	1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
		1 / 4

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 2003 JUN 17	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. PRDSCRJRKDF100	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
--	---	---	--------------------------------

6. ISSUED BY CODE Defense Supply Center Richmond ATTN: DSCR Procurement 8000 Jefferson Davis Highway Richmond, VA 23297- Initiator: J. RICHARDSON PZGKDB3 (804) 279-6336 / FAX: (804)279-2418 E-mail: Janice.Richardson@dla.mil	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE
--	---

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, city, county, State and ZIP Code)	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SP0412-03-R-3410
	X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 2003 MAY 19
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.

Offeror must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the closing hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc). SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

NSN: 1560-01-165-6027
 Previous Opening/Closing Date: 2003 JUN 30
 Extended to: 2003 JUL 09 Time: 2:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time

1. Supplemental Text Sections L (Proposal Preparation Instructions) and M (Evaluation Procedures) are hereby deleted. Pages 19-21 of the RFP are applicable. Also Clauses M10F, M10G, M26 and M26C are hereby added.

2. Pricing: The Contractor is to provide pricing for the base period and option period. Attachment 1 identifies the NSNs, and estimated annual
 CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE(S)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR <i>(Signature of person authorized to sign)</i>	15C. DATE SIGNED
16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY <u>Janice Richardson</u> <i>(Signature of Contracting Officer)</i>	16C. DATE SIGNED 6-17-03

CONTINUATION SHEETReference Number of Document Being Continued:
SP0412-03-R-3410-0003PAGE OF PAGES
2 4

demand quantities for the base period and option period. Please provide contractor pricing on this spreadsheet. Contractors are encouraged to consider minimum order quantities (MOQ) when setting prices. Contractors are not required to honor orders for quantities less than the MOQ (see Clause I67, FAR 52.216.19). However, it is important to note that offers will be evaluated based on the estimated annual demand quantity (EAD, see Clause M12, FAR 52.216-9G09). These numbers are based on the best projection available at the time of this solicitation and are subject to change. Some of the NSNs may have EADs of zero; however, these NSNs may not necessarily have zero demands. They may, in fact, be items recently assigned to DSCR, or items for which historical data is other wise incomplete or unavailable, or items for which the Government has no recurring demands and therefore, cannot forecast any realistic estimates. Offerors are encouraged to submit offers consistent with quantities reflected in their own historical data where available.

3. For evaluation purposes, each individual NSN will be evaluated by multiplying the proposed unit price times the EAD to arrive at the estimated total for each NSN. In those cases where the estimated annual demand quantity is zero (0), the Government will use (1) to represent the quantity .

4. Contractors are required to submit one copy of the completed solicitation, completed pricing attachment (Attachment 1, electronic is encouraged) and a surge plan (Attachment 2, if applicable)

5. The new closing date is Wednesday, July 9, 2003.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Reference Number of Document Being Continued:

SP0412-03-R-3410-0003

PAGE OF PAGES

3

4

INCORPORATE THE FOLLOWING CLAUSES

M10F 52.215-9G05 AUTOMATED BEST VALUE SYSTEM
DSCR (JUL 2002)

(a) The Automated Best Value System (ABVS) Score as an Element of Past Performance Evaluation.

(1) ABVS scores do not determine eligibility for award or technical acceptability. The Government shall use ABVS scores to compare past performance among offerors, not to make determinations of responsibility.

(2) To evaluate each offeror's past performance, DLA will assign an ABVS score to each offeror based on the offeror's past performance. ABVS scores for performance in each Federal Supply Class (FSC score) will be based on DLA consolidated performance history. An offeror may have multiple FSC scores but will have only one DLA score, which is a compilation of the offeror's FSC scores for all business conducted with DLA. These scores will be calculated monthly and remain in effect for a month. The ABVS score is a combination of an offeror's delivery and quality scores. The quality score reflects validated contractor caused product and packaging nonconformances during the rating period. For administrative purposes, the rating period excludes the most recent 30 days. The delivery score reflects all lines shown as delinquent during the rating period. For administrative purposes, the rating period excludes the most recent 60 days. For ABVS purposes, delinquent lines represent shipments not shipped and/or received in their entirety by the contract delivery date. The 30 and 60 day offset periods are NOT grace periods.

(3) Business Systems Modernization.

(i) The Defense Logistics Agency is developing and installing a new suite of commercial business software we call Business Systems Modernization (BSM). BSM will replace the old material management systems with the best of today's business applications. The first phase of BSM that will affect both DLA and our business partners is called the Concept Demonstration. This Concept Demonstration will use actual BSM software, real time data and actual transactions such as solicitations and awards. But because of the new software being tested, certain processes will change during the test and one of the most important is the change in ABVS. The collection of past performance information for certain NSNs in ABVS has been changed by the new software and will be affected by this Concept Demonstration beginning in August 2002.

(ii) Beginning in August 2002, ABVS will not collect performance information on NSNs that are included in this BSM test. The performance data for quality and delivery performance from new contract awards for these NSNs will not be used in the calculation of FSC scores. The contract line items will also not be included in the total number of contract line items on which the FSC score is based. Subsequently, the DLA score, which is a compilation of the FSC scores, will not include performance data from new awards on these NSNs. For NSNs, which are not in the BSM test, ABVS will continue to work as it does today.

(iii) In order to determine if a NSN or FSC is included in this BSM test, go to the BSM Supplier Information Resource Center, <http://www.dla.mil/j-6/bsm/SIRC/SIRC.htm>. Awards not included in the ABVS performance data will be identified by contract numbers beginning with SPMXXX or SPEXXX in lieu of SPOXXX (this does not apply to orders issued against non-DLA Basic Ordering Agreements or contracts).

(iv) This change also means that your ABVS score in BSM will be your DLA score only; the FSC score will not be visible. This initial release will provide an opportunity for DLA, our customers, and our suppliers to use the new technology and processes to improve our supply chain effectiveness. Further information is found at the BSM Vendor Information Center at <http://131.70.202.70/j%2D6/bsm/test/vic.htm>.

(4) DSCR will make negative quality and delivery data reflected in the ABVS score available to offerors by the 15th day of the month via the ABVS Website. The offeror's negative performance data will be posted before it is reflected in the ABVS score (Preview Period), to give offerors an opportunity to review and verify data. An offeror must challenge any negative data within the Preview Period to assure corrections are posted before calculation

of the score. Offerors must submit challenges and substantiating evidence (e.g. invoices, DD Form 250s, modifications) to the ABVS Administrator. The "Center" field will identify the appropriate focal point. For those identified as "DSCR," send challenges to:

Defense Supply Center Richmond
Attn: DSCR-OZP (ABVS)
8000 Jefferson-Davis Highway
Richmond, VA 23297-5516

Telephone (804) 279-6881
FAX (804) 279-5042

(5) Though offerors may challenge negative data at any time, it is to the offeror's advantage to challenge negative data during the Preview Period before it has an opportunity to be reflected in the ABVS score. Accordingly, an offeror should review performance data on a monthly basis at a minimum. When a challenge is received, the ABVS score will be flagged. The flag will remain until the challenge is resolved. If an offer under evaluation involves a challenged score, then the Contracting Officer will consider the nature of the challenged data and its relevance to the acquisition as part of the award decision. The ABVS Administrator will adjust the ABVS score if the Administrator upholds an offeror's challenge. Scores created in the update cycle immediately following the determination will reflect the adjustment.

(6) When there is a discrepancy between the offeror's challenged data and the Government's data, it becomes disputed data. The Government will make every effort to resolve the discrepancy expeditiously. However, the Government is the final authority for resolution of disputed data and its use in the source selection process, and may make an award decision despite the existence of an unresolved challenge.

(b) Using the ABVS score for evaluation.

(1) The contracting officer will first evaluate offers using the FSC score for the solicited FSC in effect at the time offers are evaluated. The contracting officer will use an offeror's DLA score to evaluate an offeror without an FSC score for that particular FSC. The contracting officer may consider the volume of business on which the FSC score is based as a measure of confidence in the score's indication of performance risk. The contracting officer may choose to use the DLA score if he or she lacks confidence in the FSC score. The contracting officer also may use the DLA score if the FSC scores among offerors are relatively equal. An offeror with no performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably and will be assigned a "999.9" in the ABVS. A "999.9" is used to designate those instances wherein the offeror has no past performance history, has no history for the particular FSC or has no history for the timeframe being rated.

(2) Contractor caused discrepancies or delinquencies will be reflected in the ABVS as an indicator of past performance. Repair, replacement or reimbursement of quality and packaging defects will not provide relief of negative ABVS data. Contractor caused delivery extensions, regardless of consideration paid, will be reflected in the delivery score.

M10G 52.215-9G06 EVALUATION AND AWARD
DSCR (FEB 2000)

(a) AWARD. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and, if necessary, conduct discussions with all responsible offerors within the competitive range. The award will be made to the offeror whose proposal conforms to the terms and conditions of the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government. Therefore, award may be made to other than the lowest priced or the highest technically rated offer.

(b) RELATIVE IMPORTANCE AND TRADE-OFFS. The Government will base the determination of best value on a comparative assessment of the offerors' prices, past performance, and the other evaluation factors identified elsewhere in this solicitation. The determination of best value also considers the relative importance of the evaluation factors. All evaluation factors, when combined, are:

[] significantly more important than cost

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

CONTINUATION SHEET

Reference Number of Document Being Continued:

SP0412-03-R-3410-0003

PAGE OF PAGES

4

4

or price. As other evaluation factors become more equal, the evaluated cost or price becomes more important.

[X] approximately equal to cost or price; or

[] significantly less important than cost or price. As the evaluated cost/price becomes more equal, relative importance of all other evaluation factors becomes more significant.

The final award decision may involve a trade-off among cost or price and the non-price factors. Factors that may be considered in the trade-off process include, but are not limited to:

Item criticality and weapons system application
 Delivery schedule and current inventory status
 Historical delivery or quality problems
 Concerns over limited supply sources and industrial base
 Benefits from obtaining new sources

(c) **COST OR PRICE.** The Government will evaluate the offered cost or price for cost realism, as defined in FAR 15.401, and reasonableness. The Government will add any other cost or price evaluation factors identified elsewhere in this solicitation (e.g. Buy American Act or FOB Origin transportation costs) to arrive at the offeror's evaluated cost or price. The evaluated cost or price will be used in conjunction with the other non-price factors to determine the best value to the Government.

(d) **PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FACTORS.** The Government will use the past performance evaluation factors marked below in addition to cost or price and other evaluation factors specified in the solicitation. Unless indicated otherwise, past performance is significantly more important than other non-price factors. Within the past performance subfactors, ABVS scores will be weighed most heavily because of their relevance to DSCR awards. Quality history and delivery schedule compliance will be weighed more heavily than the remaining past performance subfactors. All other non-price evaluation factors specified in this solicitation weigh equally, unless otherwise indicated.

[X] ABVS Score (52.215-9G05)

[] Quality History

[] Delivery Schedule Compliance

[] Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) (52.215-9005)

[] Mentoring Business Agreements (MBA)
(52.219-9003)

[] Socioeconomic Support (52.215-9003)

[] Other (specify):

(e) **PAST PERFORMANCE.** Past performance includes, but is not limited to, the offeror's record of conforming to contract requirements and standards of good workmanship; adherence to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance; the offeror's reputation for reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction; and generally, the offeror's business-like concern for the customer's interest.

(i) Offerors may submit with their offer information on past and current Federal (non-DSCR), State and local government and private sector contracts performed by the offeror at the proposed performance location within the last three years that are similar in nature to this acquisition. Offerors electing to submit this data must furnish at least the following information: name and address of the contracting entity; the contract number; award and completion dates; the dollar value; the contract type; the items or services provided; two references, with title and phone number; and any problems encountered and the corrective action taken by the offeror.

(ii) By submitting past performance information, the offeror agrees to permit the Government's representatives to contact the listed references and inquire of the offeror's performance. If more than three

contracts are identified, the Government reserves the right to randomly select and limit its review to three contracts. In addition to the information provided, the Government may consider information obtained from other sources when evaluating the offeror's past performance. Offerors will be given the opportunity to discuss negative past performance information obtained from references if the offeror has not had a previous opportunity to comment on that information.

(iii) In addition to the information above, DSCR will use the Automated Best Value System (ABVS) to evaluate quality and past performance on DLA awards (see 52.215-9G05).

(iv) Offerors with no past performance history (whether internal or external to the Federal government) will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably.

M26 52.245-9G15 USE OF GOVERNMENT-OWNED TOOLING
 EVALUATION OF OFFERS
 DSCR (JAN 1996)

(b) Accordingly, to eliminate the competitive advantages that might otherwise arise from the use of Government-owned tooling, a rent equivalent evaluation factor of \$ will be added to each offer which is based on the use of Government-owned tooling.

M26C 52.245-9G20 NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT'S INTENT TO
 FURNISH SPECIAL TOOLING
 DSCR (JAN 1996)

Offer [] is [] is not based on using Government furnished special tooling.