AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
	1		3

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. *(If applicable)*
0003	2003 JUN 17	PRDSCRJRKDF092	

6. ISSUED BY CODE SP0400

Defense Supply Center Richmond
ATTN: DSCR Procurement
8000 Jefferson Davis Highway
Richmond,VA 23297-
Initiator: J. RICHARDSON
PZGKDB3 (804) 279-6336 / FAX: (804)279-2418
E-mail: Janice.Richardson@dla.mil

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(No., street, city, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE FACILITY CODE

(X) X

9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.
SP0412-03-R-3192

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*
2003 MAY 30

10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [X] is extended, [ ] is not extended.

Offeror must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the closing hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data *(If required)*

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc).* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).

C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not, [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION *(Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

NSN: 9150-00-944-8953
Previous Opening/Closing Date: 2003 JUN 30
Extended to: 2003 JUL 09 Time: 2:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time

1. Supplemental Text Sections L (Proposal Preparation Instructions) and M (Evaluation Procedures) are hereby deleted. Pages 23-28 of the RFP are applicable. Also Clause M10G is hereby added.

2. Pricing: The Contractor is to provide pricing for the base period and option period. Attachment 1 identifies the NSNs, and estimated annual
CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE(S)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR *(Signature of person authorized to sign)*	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY Janice Richardson *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16C. DATE SIGNED 6-17-03

demand quantities for the base period and option period. Please provide contractor pricing on this spreadsheet. Contractors are encouraged to consider minimum order quantities (MOQ) when setting prices. Contractors are not required to honor orders for quantities less than the MOQ (see Clause I67, FAR 52.216.19). However, it is important to note that offers will be evaluated based on the estimated annual demand quantity (EAD, see Clause M12, FAR 52.216-9G09). These numbers are based on the best projection available at the time of this solicitation and are subject to change. Some of the NSNs may have EADs of zero; however, these NSNs may not necessarily have zero demands. They may, in fact, be items recently assigned to DSCR, or items for which historical data is other wise incomplete or unavailable, or items for which the Government has no recurring demands and therefore, cannot forecast any realistic estimates. Offerors are encouraged to submit offers consistent with quantities reflected in their own historical data where available.

3. For evaluation purposes, each individual NSN will be evaluated by multiplying the proposed unit price times the EAD to arrive at the estimated total for each NSN. In those cases where the estimated annual demand quantity is zero (0), the Government will use (1) to represent the quantity .

4. Contractors are required to submit one copy of the completed solicitation, completed pricing attachment (Attachment 1, electronic is encouraged) and a surge plan (Attachment 2, if applicable)

5. The new closing date is Wednesday, July 9, 2003.

INCORPORATE THE FOLLOWING CLAUSES

M10G 52.215-9G06 EVALUATION AND AWARD DSCR (FEB 2000)

(a) AWARD. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and, if necessary, conduct discussions with all responsible offerors within the competitive range. The award will be made to the offeror whose proposal conforms to the terms and conditions of the solicitation and represents the best.value to the Government. Therefore, award may be made to other than the lowest priced or the highest technically rated offer.

(b) RELATIVE IMPORTANCE AND TRADE-OFFS. The Government will base the determination of best value on a comparative assessment of the offerors' prices, past performance, and the other evaluation factors identified elsewhere in this solicitation. The determination of best value also considers the relative importance of the evaluation factors. All evaluation factors, when combined, are:

[ ] significantly more important than cost or price. As other evaluation factors become more equal, the evaluated cost or price becomes more important.

[X] approximately equal to cost or price; or

[ ] significantly less important than cost or price. As the evaluated cost/price becomes more equal, relative importance of all other evaluation factors becomes more significant.

The final award decision may involve a trade-off among cost or price and the non-price factors. Factors that may be considered in the trade-off process include, but are not limited to:

Item criticality and weapons system application
Delivery schedule and current inventory status
Historical delivery or quality problems
Concerns over limited supply sources and industrial base
Benefits from obtaining new sources

(c) COST OR PRICE. The Government will evaluate the offered cost or price for cost realism, as defined in FAR 15.401, and reasonableness. The Government will add any other cost or price evaluation factors identified elsewhere in this solicitation (e.g. Buy American Act or FOB Origin transportation costs) to arrive at the offeror's evaluated cost or price. The evaluated cost or price will be used in conjunction with the other non-price factors to determine the best value to the Government.

(d) PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FACTORS. The Government will use the past performance evaluation factors marked below in addition to cost or price and other evaluation factors specified in the solicitation. Unless indicated otherwise, past performance is significantly more important than other non-price factors. Within the past performance subfactors, ABVS scores will be weighed most heavily because of their relevance to DSCR awards. Quality history and delivery schedule compliance will be weighed more heavily than the remaining past performance subfactors. All other non-price evaluation factors specified in this solicitation weigh equally, unless otherwise indicated.

[X] ABVS Score (52.215-9G05)

[ ] Quality History

[ ] Delivery Schedule Compliance

[ ] Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) (52.215-9005)

[ ] Mentoring Business Agreements (MBA) (52.219-9003)

[ ] Socioeconomic Support (52.215-9003)

[ ] Other (specify):

(e) PAST PERFORMANCE. Past performance includes, but is not limited to, the offeror's record of conforming to contract requirements and standards of good workmanship; adherence to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance; the offeror's reputation for reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction; and generally, the offeror's business-like concern for the customer's interest.

(i) Offerors may submit with their offer information on past and current Federal (non-DSCR), State and local government and private sector contracts performed by the offeror at the proposed performance location within the last three years that are similar in nature to this acquisition. Offerors electing to submit this data must furnish at least the following information: name and address of the contracting entity; the contract number; award and completion dates; the dollar value; the contract type; the items or services provided; two references, with title and phone number; and any problems encountered and the corrective action taken by the offeror.

(ii) By submitting past performance information, the offeror agrees to permit the Government's representatives to contact the listed references and inquire of the offeror's performance. If more than three contracts are identified, the Government reserves the right to randomly select and limit its review to three contracts. In addition to the information provided, the Government may consider information obtained from other sources when evaluating the offeror's past performance. Offerors will be given the opportunity to discuss negative past performance information obtained from references if the offeror has not had a previous opportunity to comment on that information.

(iii) In addition to the information above, DSCR will use the Automated Best Value System (ABVS) to evaluate quality and past performance on DLA awards (see 52.215-9G05).

(iv) Offerors with no past performance history (whether internal or external to the Federal government) will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably.